BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. AC 2007-33

DOUGLAS R. WACHTEL 941 Wilmington Way Redwood City, CA 94602-4068

Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 52277,

Respondent.

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, revoking Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 52277, heretofore issued to Douglas R. Wachtel, is hereby adopted by the California Board of Accountancy as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on October 26, 2008

It is so ORDERED September 26, 2008

President

FOR THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

1 of the State of California; 2 WILBERT E. BENNETT Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 JEANNE C. WERNER, State Bar No. 93170 Deputy Attorney General 4 California Department of Justice 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor 5 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 6 Telephone: (510) 622-2226 Facsimile: (510) 622-2121 7 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE 9 BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 12 DOUGLAS R. WACHTEL 941 Wilmington Way 13 Redwood City, CA 94602-4068 14 Certified Public Accountant Certificate

No. CPA 52277,

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case No. AC 2007-33

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER

In the interest of settlement of this matter, consistent with the public interest and the responsibilities of the California Board of Accountancy of the Department of Consumer Affairs, the parties hereby agree to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order which will be submitted to the Board for approval and adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation No. 2007-33, relating to the Certified Public Accountant Certificate of Respondent Douglas R. Wachtel.

Respondent.

PARTIES AND JURISDICTION

1. Carol Sigmann, Complainant, is the Executive Officer of the California Board of Accountancy (the "Board"). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, and by Jeanne C. Werner, Deputy Attorney General.

- 2. Respondent Douglas R. Wachtel is representing himself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.
- 3. On or about January 27, 1989, the California Board of Accountancy issued Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 52277 to Douglas R. Wachtel (Respondent). The Certificate expired on February 29, 2004, and has not been renewed.
- 4. Accusation No. AC-2007-33 was filed before the California Board of Accountancy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 12, 2007. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. AC-2007-33 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

WAIVERS & CONTINGENCY

- 5. Respondent has carefully read and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. AC-2007-33. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every one of these rights.
- 7. The parties understand and agree that, in signing this stipulation rather than further contesting the Accusation, Respondent is voluntarily consenting to the adoption of this Stipulated Settlement as the Board's Decision, enabling the Board of Accountancy of the State of California to issue the following order without further legal process. Respondent represents that no tender, offer, promises, threats or inducements of any kind whatsoever have been made by the Board or

22.

any member, officer, agent or representative thereof in consideration of this settlement offer or otherwise to induce him to so consent.

- 8. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board. Respondent understands and agrees that Complainant, her counsel and the staff of the Board may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it.
- 9. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be withdrawn. It shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph. It shall have no evidentiary value, shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary, or other, action or proceeding by either party hereto. In the event that the Stipulated Settlement is not adopted, nothing recited herein shall be construed as a waiver of Respondent's right to a hearing or as an admission of the truth of any of the matters charged. Communications pursuant to this paragraph, and consideration of this matter, shall not disqualify the Board or other persons from future participation in this or any other matter affecting Respondent. Respondent agrees that should the Board reject this Stipulated Settlement and if this case proceeds to hearing, Respondent will assert no claim that the Board was prejudiced by its review and discussion of this Stipulation or of any records related hereto.

ADMISSIONS AND FURTHER STIPULATIONS BETWEEN THE PARTIES

- 10. Respondent, without admitting or denying the allegations in the SEC Complaint, admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. AC 2007-33.
- 11. Based upon the foregoing admissions, Respondent stipulates that his license is subject to discipline as charged in the Accusation, and that these admissions and charges provide the basis for the Board's discipline of his license. Respondent agrees that his license is subject to discipline under Business and Professions Code Section 5100, as set forth in Accusation No. AC-2007-33, and agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the

Disciplinary Order below. Respondent further agrees not to take any action or make any public statement that creates, or tends to create, the impression that any of the matters set forth in the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order are without a factual basis. Respondent stipulates that he has abided by the terms of the SEC Judgment and the SEC Order referenced in paragraphs 11 through 13 of the Accusation.

- 12. Pursuant to Code Section 5107, the Board's costs of investigation and prosecution of this matter are \$5214.05. Respondent understands that Code Section 5107(j)(1) provides that the Board shall not reinstate the license of one who has failed to pay all of the costs ordered under Code Section 5107. Code Section 5107(j)(2) provides that the Board may, in its discretion, conditionally reinstate for a maximum of one year the certificate of a holder who demonstrated financial hardship and who enters into a formal agreement with the Board to reimburse the Board within that one-year period for those unpaid costs. Respondent understands that reimbursement of costs is considered by the Board as a rehabilitation factor should Respondent file a petition for reinstatement. Respondent stipulates that he will abide by the provisions of Code Section 5107 and will reimburse the Board those costs as provided therein should the board reinstate his certificate in the future.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IN CONSIDERATION OF THE FOREGOING admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. CPA 12411 issued to Respondent Douglas R. Wachtel is revoked. The Respondent is ordered to pay the Board its reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution under Code Section 5107 as provided herein.

1	ACCEPTANCE			
2	I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the			
3	stipulation and the effect it will have on my Certified Public Accountant Certificate. I enter into			
4	this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and			
5	agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy.			
6	DATED: 8/12/2008			
7	. 7 /			
8	DOUGLASS WACHTEI			
9	Respondent			
0	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>			
1	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully			
2	submitted for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy.			
3	DATED:			
14	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California			
15	WILBERT E. BENNETT			
16	Supervising Deputy Attorney General			
17				
18	JEANNE C. WERNER Deputy Attorney General			
19	Attorneys for Complainant			
20	Attorneys for Complamant			
21	DOJ Matter ID: SF2007400612			
22	90064325_2.wpd			
23				
24				
25				

1 **ACCEPTANCE** 2 I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand the 3 stipulation and the effect it will have on my Certified Public Accountant Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and 4 5 agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the California Board of Accountancy. 6 DATED: 7 8 DOUGLAS R. WACHTEL 9 Respondent 10 **ENDORSEMENT** 11 The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully 12 submitted for consideration by the California Board of Accountancy. DATED: 13 14 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California 15

JEANNE C. WERNER
Deputy Attorney General

WILBERT E. BENNETT

Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

DOJ Matter ID: SF2007400612 90064325_2.wpd

23

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

Exhibit A Accusation No. AC 2007-33

- 1			
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General		
2	of the State of California WILBERT E. BENNETT Supervising Deputy Attorney General JEANNE C. WERNER, State Bar No. 93170 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 1515 Clay Street, 20 th Floor~P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 Telephone: (510) 622-2226/Facsimile: (510) 622-2121 Attorneys for Complainant		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. AC 2007-33	
13	DOUGLAS R. WACHTEL	ACCUSATION	
14	451 Day Street San Francisco, California 94131		
15	Certified Public Accountant Certificate		
16	No. CPA 52277,		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	Complainant alleges:		
20	PARTIES and JURISDICTION		
21	1. The Complainant herein, Carol Sigmann, brings this action under Business and		
22	Professions Code Section 5100 solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the		
23	California Board of Accountancy, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Board").		
24	2. On or about January 27, 1989, the Board issued Certified Public Accountant		
25	Certificate Number CPA 52277 to Douglas R. Wachtel, Respondent. The Certified Public		
26	Accountant Certificate expired on March 1, 2004, has not been renewed, and is thus in a		
27	delinquent status.		
28	3. This Accusation is brought before the Board under the authority of Section 5100 of		

indicated.

1. All statutory references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise

the Business and Professions Code, which provides, in relevant part, that, after notice and hearing, the board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew any permit or certificate granted, for unprofessional conduct including, but not limited to:

- A. The suspension of the right to practice before any governmental body or agency (Code Section 5100(h)); and
- B. The imposition of any discipline, penalty, or sanction on the holder of a permit, certificate, license, or other authority to practice in this state by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (Code Section 5100(l)).
- 4. Code Sections 118(b) and 5109 provide in pertinent part that the suspension, expiration, cancellation, or forfeiture of a license issued by the Board shall not deprive the Board of its authority to investigate, or to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against a licensee upon any ground provided by law, or to enter an order suspending or revoking the license, or otherwise taking disciplinary action against the licensee on any such ground.
- 5. Code Section 5107 authorizes the Board's recovery of certain costs which result from the investigation and prosecution of violations of the Accountancy Act. Code Section 5107(a) provides in pertinent part that the executive officer of the Board may request the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in a disciplinary proceeding, to direct any holder of a permit or certificate found to have violated the Accountancy Act to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees incurred prior to the commencement of the hearing. A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs signed by the Executive Officer, constitute prima facie evidence of reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution of the case.
- 6. Code Section 5000.1 provides as follows: "Protection of the public shall be the highest priority for the California Board of Accountancy in exercising its licensing, regulatory, and disciplinary functions. Whenever the protection of the public is inconsistent with other

interests sought to be promoted, the protection of the public shall be paramount."

CIRCUMSTANCES SUPPORTING THE IMPOSITION OF DISCIPLINE ON RESPONDENT

- 7. The circumstances supporting the imposition of discipline against Respondent Wachtel are summarized below.
- 8. Respondent served as Controller of NextCard, Inc., from 1998 to 2003. NextCard maintained its principal place of business in San Francisco, California, and was engaged in the business of issuing credit cards over the Internet. NextCard's common stock was registered with the SEC and traded on the NASDAQ National Market. NextCard declared bankruptcy in November 2002. As described below, Respondent Wachtel was the subject of two separate proceedings initiated by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

SEC Civil Case

- 9. The first SEC action involved a civil suit filed (in September 2004) in the Federal District Court, Northern District of California, entitled Securities and Exchange Commission v. Jeremy R. Lent, John V. Hashman, Yinzi Cai, Douglas Wachtel and Bruce Rigione, Defendants (Case No. C-04-4088-CW).
- 10. The SEC's Complaint alleged that Wachtel and the other defendants, all former officers of NextCard, engaged in a fraudulent scheme which resulted in NextCard filing materially false and misleading financial statements in the company's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, and in the company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the first two quarters of fiscal year 2001. The Complaint alleged that Wachtel and the other defendants failed to disclose several changes in NextCard's accounting policies, including: (1) the reclassification of certain credit losses as fraud losses; and (2) changes in NextCard's policy for calculating its loan loss reserve. According to the Complaint, as a result of these undisclosed accounting policy changes, investors were misled and denied material information concerning the rising levels of losses on NextCard's credit card portfolio.
- 11. Respondent Wachtel consented to the entry, on October 26, 2006, of a Final Judgment ("Consent"), in which he, without admitting or denying the allegations of the

28 | Administrative Pro

Complaint (except as to jurisdiction), agreed to the imposition of a permanent injunction from violating Section 17(a) of the Securities Act; the imposition of a permanent injunction from violating Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act; the imposition of a permanent injunction from violating Section 13(b)(2)(A) of the Exchange Act or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act; his disgorgement of \$21,000, representing proceeds from his sales of NextCard common stock, together with prejudgment interest in the amount of \$1,223; and payment of civil penalties in the amount of \$71,000, for a total of \$93,223. Respondent Wachtel was also prohibited for five years from acting as an officer or director of any issuer that has a class of securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act or that is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

SEC Administrative Proceeding

- 12. The second SEC proceeding, an administrative proceeding captioned *In the Matter of Douglas Wachtel (CPA)*, Respondent, Exchange Act Rel. No. 55133², was resolved on January 19, 2007, by the issuance of an *Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Rule 102(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions* against Wachtel, in which proceeding Respondent consented to the entry of the Commission's order suspending him from appearing or practicing before the Commission as an accountant. The Order provides that Respondent may request that the Commission consider his reinstatement after 5 years from the date of the Order, that is, after January 19, 2012.
- 13. The SEC made findings summarizing the allegations of the Complaint referenced in paragraph 10, and imposed a suspension on Respondent based upon its authority to suspend from appearing or practicing before it any accountant who has been permanently enjoined by any court of competent jurisdiction, by reason of his misconduct in an action brought by the Commission, from violating or aiding and abetting the violation of any provision of the Federal securities laws or of the rules and regulations thereunder. (Rule 102(e)(3)(i).

^{2.} The case also bears Accounting and Auditing Enforcement Release No. 2543, and Administrative Proceeding File No. 3-12542.

FIRST AND SECOND CAUSES FOR DISCIPLINE

Imposition of Discipline, Penalty or Sanction by PCAOB or SEC [Business and Professions Code Section 5100(1)]

14. Incorporating by reference the matters alleged in paragraphs 8 through 11 above, the Certified Public Accountant Certificate of Respondent Wachtel is subject to disciplinary action, in that the court's *Final Judgment* entered against Respondent in Case No. C-04-4088-CW constitutes the imposition of penalties and sanctions on the Respondent by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or its designee under federal legislation within the meaning of Code Section 5100(*I*), establishing cause for discipline of Respondent's license under Code Section 5100.

15. Incorporating by reference the matters alleged in paragraphs 8, 12 and 13 above, the Certified Public Accountant Certificate of Respondent Wachtel is subject to disciplinary action, in that the Commission's Order Instituting Administrative Proceedings Pursuant to Rule 102(e) of the Commission's Rules of Practice, Making Findings, and Imposing Remedial Sanctions ("Order"), which suspended Respondent from practice as an accountant before the Commission, constitutes the imposition of discipline on the Respondent by the United States Securities and Exchange Commission within the meaning of Code Section 5100(*l*), establishing cause for discipline of Respondent's license under Code Section 5100.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Suspension of Right to Practice Before a Governmental Body or Agency [Business and Professions Code § 5100(h)]

16. Incorporating by reference the matters alleged in paragraphs 8, 12 and 13 above, Respondent's suspension from practice on January 19, 2007, before the SEC constitutes the suspension of the right to practice before a governmental agency within the meaning of Code Section 5100(h), and said suspension is substantially related to the qualifications, duties or functions of a certified public accountant, establishing cause for discipline of Respondent's Certified Public Accountant Certificate under Code Section 5100.

OTHER MATTERS

Cost Recovery

17. Pursuant to Code Section 5107, it is requested that the administrative law judge, as part of the proposed decision in this proceeding, direct Respondent to pay to the Board all reasonable costs of investigation and prosecution in this case, including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the California Board of Accountancy issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking, suspending, or otherwise imposing discipline upon Certified Public Accountant Certificate Number CPA 52277 issued to Douglas R. Wachtel;
- 2. Ordering Douglas R. Wachtel to pay the California Board of Accountancy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5107;
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: July 9, 2007

CAROL SIGMANI

Executive Officer

California Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2007400612

90064654.wpd